UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,588	08/16/2006	Horst Sonnendorfer	RWS-619327	2702
	7590 04/28/200 E NBERG STEMER LI	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2480			COOLMAN, VAUGHN	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/589,588	SONNENDORFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VAUGHN T. COOLMAN	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 At	ugust 2006					
	action is non-final.					
· -						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claim Objections

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation of "an existing shopping cart or transport container" is confusing when taken with the limitations of the parent claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 5, 9-11, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thompson (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US2005/0214350 A1).

[claims 5 and 11] Thompson discloses a shopping cart (FIG 9) including a surface (handle cover) composed of a hydrophobic material (paragraphs 0020 and 0021). The method is inherent in the product.

[claims 9, 10, 15, and 16] Thompson further shows forming the surface by subsequent treatment (applying the antimicrobial sheets) of an existing shopping cart.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson in view of Brustad et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US2005/0113936 A1).

[claims 6 and 12] Thompson fails to explicitly disclose the surface including nanostructure. Thompson does state that the surface would be "antimicrobial hydrophobic material which will be synthetic or chemically induced with polymers, such as . . . polypropylene . . . " (paragraph 0020). Brustad teaches in paragraph 0048 that precipitated polypropylene is a hydrophobic nanostructure that prevents adhesion of human tissue. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a known material such as precipitated polypropylene for a fabric surface such as that disclosed by Thompson in order to effect the antimicrobial properties desired by Thompson.

[claims 7, 8, 13, and 14] It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the surface during production of the cart by adding it on prior to delivery to the end user in order to increase sales and profitability of the company producing the cart.

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Please see attached form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to VAUGHN T. COOLMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-

6014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8am-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher P Ellis/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618

VAUGHN T COOLMAN

Examiner

Art Unit 3618

/V. T. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3618